



midterm projects evaluation

your name

how this works

- 1 | Fill-out the appropriate page of this writable PDF evaluation form after each student presents. Don't forget to give the student/group a unique ranking from 1 - 10 (1 is best).
- 2 | Save the PDF often.
- 3 | Turn-in the completed PDF to Canvas. (Before you turn-in, please close and re-open the PDF to make sure that all your comments and evaluations have been correctly saved.)

important notes!

a Be thoughtful and honest in your evaluations. Your goal is not to make people feel good, but to give each student feedback that will help them improve their work. Be kind, but tell them the truth. **b** View all presentations before you give students their final ranking (at the very bottom of each evaluation form). **c** You're probably wondering, do you evaluate your own work? Yes!

**IF PDF OPENS IN BROWSER WINDOW, DOWNLOAD IT.
USE ADOBE ACROBAT TO FILL-OUT THIS FORM.**



midterm projects
evaluation

liam aquininog

did student achieve stated objectives for each project?

- completely mostly marginally not at all

quality of work?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

quality of presentation?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

rank work from 1 to 10
(1 is best) – no two students
can have same ranking



midterm projects
evaluation

kaitlyn elpedes

did student achieve stated objectives for each project?

- completely mostly marginally not at all

quality of work?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

quality of presentation?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

rank work from 1 to 10
(1 is best) – no two students
can have same ranking



midterm projects
evaluation

joaquin godinez

did student achieve stated objectives for each project?

- completely mostly marginally not at all

quality of work?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

quality of presentation?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

rank work from 1 to 10
(1 is best) – no two students
can have same ranking



midterm projects
evaluation

v gonzalez

did student achieve stated objectives for each project?

- completely mostly marginally not at all

quality of work?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

quality of presentation?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

rank work from 1 to 10
(1 is best) – no two students
can have same ranking



midterm projects
evaluation

gianna horvath

did student achieve stated objectives for each project?

- completely mostly marginally not at all

quality of work?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

quality of presentation?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

rank work from 1 to 10
(1 is best) – no two students
can have same ranking



midterm projects
evaluation

valerie madrid

did student achieve stated objectives for each project?

- completely mostly marginally not at all

quality of work?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

quality of presentation?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

rank work from 1 to 10
(1 is best) – no two students
can have same ranking



midterm projects
evaluation

devyn prior

did student achieve stated objectives for each project?

- completely mostly marginally not at all

quality of work?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

quality of presentation?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

rank work from 1 to 10
(1 is best) – no two students
can have same ranking



midterm projects
evaluation

yohanna rios montes

did student achieve stated objectives for each project?

- completely mostly marginally not at all

quality of work?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

quality of presentation?

- excellent very good good not good bad

strengths

weaknesses

rank work from 1 to 10
(1 is best) – no two students
can have same ranking